



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/760,593	01/16/2001	TORU ISHII	X2850.0044/P044
EXAMINER			
PHONG NGUYEN			
ART UNIT	PAPER		
3724	7		

DATE MAILED: 12/5/02

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

See attachment form PTOL-316

alln
Allan N. Shoap
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/1760,593	01/16/2001	TORU ISHII	X 2850.0044/P0 44

EXAMINER	
PHONG NGUYEN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3724	7

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Telephone
Responsive to Communication Filed 11-19-02

The enclosed is a correct copy of a reference relating to the last Office action. The correction is indicated below.

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE OF 3 MONTHS SET IN SAID OFFICE ACTION IS
RESTARTED TO BEGIN WITH THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.

Part 1 - Correct Reference Citation

Form PTO-892

by Phong Nguyen
Examiner

alln

Allan N. Shoap
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700

Part 2 - Correct Reference Furnished:

France (4,610,185)

by Reference Order Center

enc.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/760,593	ISHII ET AL.	
	Examiner Phong H Nguyen	Art Unit 3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Phong H Nguyen. (3) _____.
 (2) Steven I. Weisburd, Esq.. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2002.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Weisburd called stating that Patent 4,610,185 to France applied in paragraph 9 of the Office Action is not cited on PTO Form 892. The examiner has corrected and is restarting the period for replying to the Office Action mailed 11/08/02.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 Allan N. Shoap
 Supervisory Patent Examiner
 Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required